

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

·				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,249	08/13/2001	Richard Suyehira	10005946-1	8794

7590

12/22/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration

P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER				
WALLERS	ON, MARK E			
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2626				

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/929,2	49	SUYEHIRA, RICH	SUYEHIRA, RICHARD	
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Mark E. V	Vallerson	2626		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	idress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN Assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply weeply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TI f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex nication. utory period will apply and w ill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA vent, however, may a reply vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS blication to become ABANI	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this co DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o)☐ This action is r or allowance except	non-final. t for formal matters		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-17 and 20-36 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-17 and 20-36 is/are rej Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co	onsideration.			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b ion to the drawing(s) he correction is requi	be held in abeyance red if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF	, ,	
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority do None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appl ents have been red le 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National	Stage	
	k(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)		fail Date		
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Information Other:	mal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/929,249 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 10/3/05.

2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-4, 6-17, and 20-36 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 24, 25, 16, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endoh (U.S. 6,707,566) in view of Cox et al (Cox) (U.S. 6,449,054).

With respect to claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 24, 27, 32, and 35, Endoh discloses creating a plurality of printer groups (102-107); for each of the plurality of printers, associating the printer with one or more of the printer groups by assigning a printer identifier (ID, figure 1) with at least one of the printer groups (102-104 and 105 to 107, figure 1 and column 3, lines 20-31), and printer identifier uniquely identifying the printer (ID:1, ID:2, and ID:3 identify printers 102-104 respectively); collecting data from the printers (column 4, lines 53-64), and processing aggregated data of the printer groups (column 4, lines 54-64).

Art Unit: 2626

Endoh differs from claims 1, 4, 12, 24, 27, 32, and 34 in that he does not clearly disclose moving a printer associated with a first group to a second group by dissociating the printer identifier from the first group and associating the printer identifier with the second group.

Cox discloses a network system comprising plural printers and allowing a user to move a printer associated with a first group to a second group by dissociating the printer identifier from the first group and associating the printer identifier with the second group (column 3, lines 45-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Endoh to allow a user to move a printer associated with a first group to a second group by dissociating the printer identifier from the first group and associating the printer identifier with the second group. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Endoh by the teaching of Cox in order to achieve flexibility in the use of the multiple printers as disclosed by Cox in column 2, lines 10-13.

With regard to claim 2, Endoh discloses creating a printer identifier for each printer (column 3, lines 20-31).

With respect to claims 3, 25, and 33, Endoh discloses adding an additional printer to the printer groups by associating a printer identifier with the printer groups with identifies the printer (figure 3 and column 5, lines 23-45).

With regard to claims 6, 7, 13, 14, 15, 16, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 36, Cox discloses adding or removing printer groups (column 2, lines 43-48) or removing printers from the groups (column 3, lines 45-56).

Art Unit: 2626

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 17, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endoh in view of Bullock et al (Bullock) (U.S. 5,812,156).

With respect to claims 17 and 23, Endoh discloses a printer identifier that uniquely identifies the printer (figure 1); a data collection module configured to collect printer usage data from the printer (column 4, lines 53-64), a connection to a host computer (figure 1), the host computer configured to associate the printer identifier with one or more printer groups identified by the host computer (column 3, lines 11-65). Endoh differs from claim 17 in that he does not clearly disclose a memory component integrated into a replaceable component of the printer for storing printer usage data.

Bullock discloses a printer comprising a replaceable cartridge comprising a memory for storing printer usage information (the abstract and column 2, lines 20-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Endoh to include a memory component integrated into a replaceable component of the printer for storing printer usage data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Endoh by the teaching of Bullock in order to improve user efficiency.

With regard to claim 20, Endoh discloses a browser (user interface) (column 4, lines 40-52 and column 8, line 60 to column 9, line 9).

With regard to claims 21 and 22, Endoh discloses a network interface card or communications port (column 3, lines 56-65).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/3/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that Endoh does not disclose collecting and manipulating data from the printers. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Endoh discloses inputting a group address and printing conditions of the printers and displaying the printing conditions on a display of the personal computer (column 4, lines 54-57) and allowing the printers to perform different printing processes according to a processing ability of each printer (column 5, lines 50-54; column 7, lines 27-32, and column 8, lines 12-23 and lines 60-64). This clearly reads on collecting and manipulating data from the printers.

Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/929,249 Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7470. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2626

MARK WALLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER